



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/546,201	04/10/2000	John M. Polo	930049.464/1463.002	3605
7590 05/10/2004		EXAMINER		
MARCI LILLIS, PH.D. CHIRON CORPORATION			FOLEY, SHANON A	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - R440			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 8097			1648	
EMERYVILLE, CA 94662-8097		DATE MAIL ED. 05/10/200/	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/546,201	POLO ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shanon Foley	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply to a the places the application in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the main b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF d of extension and the corresponding among the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	rig date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);				
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v	• • •				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>S</b> :				
Claim(s) allowed: none.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26, 28-31 and 33-44</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:					



Stower Fly Patent Examine, 1648

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 26 presents a new limitation that has not been previously searched and would require further consideration under 35 USC 103 because self-complimenting dsRNA is known in the prior art.

2